

It is recommended that technology fee expenditure guidelines should be based upon two fundamental principles.

Student technology fee revenues should not be used to supplant current levels of technology fee expenditures. Institutions should provide ~~with~~ that overall institution technology expenditures clearly reflect that expenditures based upon fee revenues are above and beyond normal levels.

The focus of the student technology fees should be on academic or instructional technology and distinctions ~~should~~ be drawn between expenditures for administrative applications or scientific and laboratory equipment, and instructional technology.

With respect to the second principle, technology fee revenues should be directed toward those needs that directly meet the educational value criterion established in the Chancellor's approval letters: i.e., the fees provide added value to the educational experiences of the students. We define this value to be instructionally oriented and not oriented toward other ~~services~~ housing, registration, advising, record keeping, etc., important as these services are to a student's overall collegiate experiences.

Technology fee revenues may be used for training of students and, to a lesser extent, staff and faculty. Students and faculty perceive good training in the use of computing and networking resources as an important component of effective use of electronic instructional resources both inside and outside the classroom. Consequently, the secondary educational value is high; training allows students and faculty to focus on course content rather than on the mechanics of operating a computer. In general, staff and faculty training should be postponed from operational funds. Obvious exceptions include circumstances such as space remaining available in a training session after student sign-up is completed, or the purchase of a site license for online training that permits access by all members of the campus community.

Technology fee revenues may be used to leverage other funds where appropriate. Keeping in mind the second fundamental principle, there are circumstances where a grant or other onetime allocation could be combined with technology fee revenues to yield greater access or resources for students.

Technology fee revenues may be used -- with caution -- for new staffing that is either temporary or ongoing. Institutions should continue to focus on the criterion established initially by the Chancellor's approval letter, i.e. the fees provide added value to the educational experiences of students. Where additional staffing clearly will provide added value to students and the value can be documented, technology fee expenditures may be warranted. For example, hiring temporary trainers may be the best way to ensure that all incoming freshmen and transfers receive a thorough information technology orientation session. However, under no circumstances should technology fee revenues be used to fund existing positions that would otherwise be cut from an operational budget, nor should be used to fund general computing and networking positions that have a significant administrative or research support component. Institutions are encouraged to develop a formal process of review for proposed student technology fee staffing expenditures to ensure continued awareness of this guideline.

Lower priority uses of technology fee revenues include development of software packages, acquisition of one of a kind software or hardware products for faculty use in teaching, adaptive equipment for students with disabilities, and consumable supplies such as printer paper.

In almost no cases should technology fee revenues be used for administrative software or software implementation (such as BANNER), administrative hardware, research equipment, non networkable specialized scientific equipment, space renovation, or other items or activities that do not have a direct and immediate impact upon students' instructional objectives.